NAVY REGION SOUTHWEST

REGIONAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Annex L

Hazard Analysis Program

1. **Purpose**. The purpose of this annex is to introduce a quantitative method for analyzing hazards and to use that methodology to examine and up date or create a subregional emergency management plan.

2. Discussion

- a. In order to create a sub-regional emergency management plan, sub regions must be able to identify and determine the degree of threat that is posed by each of the hazards (natural, technological, civil or political) that potentially threatens the sub-region area of responsibility. By conducting hazard analysis using the forms in this program, comparing data will better prepare the command for responding to any emergency.
 - b. A hazard analysis is intended to determine:
 - (1) What can occur.
 - (2) How often it is likely to occur.
 - (3) How bad is it likely to affect the area of responsibility.
 - (4) How vulnerable the area of responsibility is to the hazard.

3. Action

- a. All sub-regions shall conduct a current hazard analysis of their area of responsibility using the forms provided in this annex and create scenarios as describe in this plan.
- b. All data compiled shall be maintained, reviewed and updated when appropriate on an annually bases for each sub-region area of responsibility.
- 4. **Process**. The hazard analysis process shall be as follows:

a. **Identification**. Develop a list of hazards that may occur within each subregion's area of responsibility based on historical data about past events. Recent or very costly events is relatively easy to gather, while information about older, less costly events may be more difficult to find.

b. Classification

- (1) Hazards can be classified into three groups:
 - (a) Natural hazards
 - (b) Technological hazards
 - (c) Civil or political hazards
- (2) A hazard analysis should consider hazards in all three groups as well as the possibility of cascading emergencies-situations when one hazard triggers others in a cascading fashion.
- c. **Profile**. Develop a hazard profile for each hazard using the hazard profile worksheet form in this annex. Each profile should include the following information about the hazard:
 - (1) Frequency of occurrence.
 - (2) Magnitude and potential intensity.
 - (3) Location where the hazard is likely to occur.
 - (4) How large an area it the hazard likely to affect.
 - (5) How long the hazard can be expected to last.
 - (6) The time of the year that the hazard is more likely to occur.
 - (7) How fast the hazard is likely to occur.
 - (8) How much warning there is, and whether a warning system exists.
- d. **Area of Responsibility**. Develop an area of responsibility profile (demography patterns, housing, industry areas, historic buildings, language, schools etc.).

- e. **Vulnerability**. Determine the vulnerability using hazard profile worksheet form indicated in Figure L-1.
- f. **Scenarios**. Create and apply scenarios based priority from the risk index worksheet form.

5. Area of responsibility Vulnerability

- a. Once sector profiles (from the area of responsibility profile) have been developed, combine the sector profile with hazard specific information from the hazard profile work sheet to determine the area of responsibility's vulnerability to each hazard. Sector files plus hazard specific information equals the determination of vulnerabilities.
- b. After hazard information and area of responsibility information have been complied, it is helpful to quantify the area of responsibility's risk so that the planning team can focus the emergency operations plan on the hazards that present the highest risk to the area of responsibility.

6. Risk

- a. Risk is the predicted impact that a hazard would have on people, services, and specific facilities and structures in your area of responsibility. For and example, in an earthquake, a specific bridge might be at risk. The predicted impact of an earthquake on that bridge could be collapse, leading to restricted access to a critical facility.
- b. For the process to be effective, risks must be quantified. Quantifying risk involves:
- (1) Identifying the elements of your area of responsibility (populations, facilities, and equipment) that are potentially at risk from a specific hazard.
 - (2) Developing response priorities
 - (3) Assigning severity ratings.
 - (4) Compiling risk data into area of responsibility risk profiles.
- c. For each hazard, survey risk related factors in each sector in the area of responsibility to develop a composite picture of overall risk. Risk related factors include:
 - (1) Geographic features (topography and soil composition).
- (2) Infrastructure lifelines (communication, transportation systems, utilities, etc.).

- (3) Essential facilities (police and fire stations, medical facilities, shelters, etc.).
- (4) Special facilities (schools, childcare facilities, healthcare facilities, high ranking officials, etc.).
 - (5) Hazardous material storage facilities and/or transportation routes.
- (6) Property characteristics (land use, type of construction, and type of area of responsibility).
 - (7) Population densities and shifts.
 - (8) The availability of response resources.

7. Response Priorities

- a. When surveying risk, it is helpful to develop response priorities as follows:
 - (1) Priority 1 Essential facilities.
 - (2) Priority 2 Life and safety.
 - (3) Priority 3 Infrastructure lifelines.
- b. When identifying and organizing risk factors within the area of responsibility, the risk assessment worksheet (Figure L-2) shall be filled out for all types of hazards to obtain information that is consistent and thus comparable.
- c. It is critical to consider potential hazards that are located in neighboring areas of responsibility if they pose a threat locally. For example, a dam that is located in a neighboring county or state could cause local flash flooding if it failed.

8. Assigning Severity Ratings

- a. Assigning a severity rating to each hazard will quantify, to the degree possible, the damage that can be expected in the area of responsibility as a result of that hazard.
- b. Note that the rating quantifies the expected impact of a specific hazard on people, essential facilities, property, and respond assets.
- 9. <u>Compiling Data into an Area of Responsibility Risk Index</u>. Use the risk index worksheet indicated in Figure L-3 for cross-reference the following types of hazard data:

2 4 OCT 2005

- a. Magnitude.
- b. Frequency of occurrence.
- c. Speed of onset (warning time).
- d. Area of responsibility impact (severity rating).
- e. Special characteristics and planning considerations.
- f. Priority.
- 10. <u>Creating and Applying Scenarios</u>. The final piece in the hazard analysis process is to develop scenarios for the top-ranked hazards (or those that rank above a certain threshold) that lay out hazard's development into an emergency. Note that this is a brainstorming activity based on the area of responsibility profile and hazard data that have been complied. The types of information that should be addressed in the scenarios are as follows:
 - a. Initial warning.
 - b. Overall impact on the area of responsibility.
 - c. Impact on specific sectors.
 - d. Consequences (damages, casualties, loss, of services, etc.).
 - e. Needed actions and resources.

HAZARD PROFILE WORKSHEET						
TYPE OF HAZARD:		☐ NATURAL	☐ TECHNOLOGICAL			
		CIVIL	□ POLITICAL			
COMMAND NAME AND ADDRESS (Including zip code	·)·	SUPPORTED BY:				
CONTINUE NAME AND ADDRESS (Including 21) Code	;).					
		REGION	SUB-REGION(NUMBER)			
POTENTIAL MAGNITUDE:(Percentage of the area of r	esponsit	oility that can be affected):				
☐ CATASTROPHIC (More than 50 %)		☐ CRITICAL (25 to 50 %)				
☐ LIMITED (10 to 25 %)		☐ NEGLIGIBLE (Less than 10 %)				
FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE:						
☐ Highly likely (Near 100 % probability in next y	ear)					
☐ Likely (Between 10 and 100 % probability in r	next year	, or at least one chance in	next 10 years)			
Possible (Between 1 and 10 % probability in a	next year	r, or at least one chance in	next 100 years)			
☐ Unlikely (Less than 1 % probability in next 10	0 vears)					
SEASONAL PATTERN :	. , ,					
AREAS LIKELY TO BE EFFECTED: (By sector):						
PROBABLE DURATION:		***				
POTENTIAL SPEED OF ONSET (Probable amount of v	_	,				
☐ MINIMAL OR NO WARNING	□ 6 T	O 12 HOURS WARNING				
☐ 12 TO 24 HOURS WARNING	□ мо	DRE THAN 24 HOURS WARNING				
EXISTING WARNING SYSTEMS:		COMPLETE VULNERAB	ILITY ANALYSIS:			
		☐ YES	□ NO			
		DATE OF PROFILE:	14.5			
		APPROVED BY:				
NOTE THAT SOME HAZARDS MAY POSE SUCI	1 A LIMI	TED THREAT TO THE A	REA OF RESPONSIBILITY THAT			
		SIS IS NOT NECESSARY				

Figure L-1

RISK ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET						
COMMANI	D NAME AND ADDRESS (II	ncluding zip code):	SUPPORTED BY:		11	
		☐ REGION	SUB-REGION	(Number)		
SECTOR	ESSENTIAL FACILITIES	AT RISK (Response pri	ority 1)			
	1.104.00					

SECTOR	POPULATION AT RISK (I	Poenoneo priority 2)	77.77			
SECTOR	FOFULATION AT RISK (I	Response priority 2)				
SECTOR	INFRASTRUCTURE (Res	ponse priority 3)				
***				7.64	****	
				100		
PROPERT	Y AT RISK:		9949A-1-1			
EXPECTE	D EXTENT OF DAMAGE:	-				
	SEVERE	% OF SECTOR PRO	DEDTY:			
		% OF SECTOR PRO				
8	SUBSTANTIAL	% OF SECTOR PRO				
L	IMITED	% OF SECTOR PRO	PERTY:			
١	NONE	% OF SECTOR PRO	PERTY:			
APPROVE	D BY:		DATE OF COMPLETION	1:		

Figure L-2

2 4 007 2005

HAZARD	FREQUENCY	MAGNITUDE	WARNING TIME	SEVERITY	SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND PLANNING CONSIDERATION	RISK PRIORITY
	 Highly likely 	▶ Catastrophic	 Minimal 	▶ Catastrophic		
	▶ Likely	▶ Critical	• 6-12 hours	▶ Critical		
	▶ Possible	▶ Limited	 12-24 hours 	▶ Limited		
	▶ Unlikely	▶ Negligible	> 24 + hours	Negligible		
	▶ Highly likely	Catastrophic	→ Minimal	Catastrophic		777
	Likely	Critical	• 6-12 hours	• Critical		
	Possible	Limited	12-24 hours	Limited		
	 Unlikely 	• Negligible	24 + hours	Negligible		
	→ Highly likely	▶ Catastrophic	Minimal	Catastrophic		1
	Likely	▶ Critical	6-12 hours	Critical		
	Possible	Limited	12-24 hours	▶ Limited		
	 Unlikely 	 Negligible 	• 24 + hours	Negligible		
	Highly likely	Catastrophic	• Minimal	Catastrophic		
	Likely	• Critical	• 6-12 hours	• Critical		
	Possible	Limited	12-24 hours	Limited		
	• Unlikely	Negligible	• 24 + hours	Negligible		
	Highly likely	Catastrophic	Minimal	Catastrophic		+
	Likely	Critical	6-12 hours	Critical		
	Possible	Limited	0-12 Hours	Limited		
	Unlikely	Negligible	12-24 hours 24 + hours	Negligible		
	Highly likely	Catastrophic	Minimal Minimal	Catastrophic		-
	Likely	• Critical				
	Possible		6-12 hours	Critical		
		Limited	12-24 hours	Limited		
	Unlikely	• Negligible	24 + hours	Negligible		
	Highly likely	Catastrophic	Minimal	Catastrophic		
	Likely	▶ Critical	• 6-12 hours	 Critical 		
	Possible	Limited	12-24 hours	Limited		
	▶ Unlikely	 Negligible 	• 24 + hours	 Negligible 		
	Highly likely	 Catastrophic 	 Minimal 	 Catastrophic 		
	Likely	 Critical 	• 6-12 hours	Critical		
	 Possible 	Limited	12-24 hours	▶ Limited		
	▶ Unlikely	Negligible	> 24 + hours	▶ Negligible		
	Highly likely	Catastrophic	 Minimal 	 Catastrophic 		
	Likely	▶ Critical	 6-12 hours 	▶ Critical		
	 Possible 	▶ Limited	12-24 hours	▶ Limited		
	▶ Unlikely	 Negligible 	24 + hours	▶ Negligible		
	▶ Highly likely	Catastrophic	▶ Minimal	Catastrophic		
	Likely	▶ Critical	• 6-12 hours	• Critical		
	Possible	▶ Limited	12-24 hours	▶ Limited		
	► Unlikely	 Negligible 	► 24 + hours	* Negligible		
	Highly likely	▶ Catastrophic	▶ Minimal	Catastrophic		
	Likely	▶ Critical	• 6-12 hours	 Critical 		
	Possible	▶ Limited	12-24 hours	 Limited 		
	▶ Unlikely	▶ Negligible	▶ 24 + hours	 Negligible 		
	▶ Highly likely	Catastrophic	▶ Minimal	Catastrophic		1
	Likely	→ Critical	▶ 6-12 hours	▶ Critical		
	Possible	▶ Limited	▶ 12-24 hours	▶ Limited		
	▶ Unlikely	 Negligible 	• 24 + hours	 Negligible 		
HIGH						
MEDIUM						
.OW	i	l .	I		1	1

Figure L-3